

New set of exactly solvable complex potentials giving the real energies

Miloslav Znojil

Ústav jaderné fyziky AV ČR, 250 68 Řež, Czech Republic

e-mail: znojil@ujf.cas.cz

Abstract

We deform the real potential $V(x)$ of Pöschl and Teller by a shift $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ of x in imaginary direction. We show that the new model $V(x) = F/\sinh^2(x - i\varepsilon) + G/\cosh^2(x - i\varepsilon)$ remains exactly solvable. Its bound states are constructed in closed form. Wave functions are complex and proportional to Jacobi polynomials. Some of them diverge in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ or $\varepsilon \rightarrow \pi/2$. In contrast, all their energies prove real and ε -independent. In this sense the loss of Hermiticity of our family of Hamiltonians seems well counter-balanced by their accidental $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry.

PACS 03.65.Ge, 03.65.Fd

Among all the exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V(r)\right) \psi(r) = E \psi(r), \quad \psi(\pm\infty) = 0 \quad (1)$$

with one of the most elementary bell-shaped potentials $V^{(bs)}(r) = G/\cosh^2 r$ is particularly useful. Its applications range from the analyses of stability and quantization of solitons [1] to phenomenological studies in atomic and molecular physics [2], chemistry [3], biophysics [4] and astrophysics [5]. Its appeal involves the solvability by different methods [6] as well as a remarkable role in the scattering [7]. Its bound-state wave functions represented by Jacobi polynomials offer one of the most elementary illustrations of properties of the so called shape invariant systems [8]. The force $V^{(bs)}(r)$ is encountered in the so called $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric quantum mechanics [9] where it appears as a Hermitian super-partner of a complex “scarf” model [10].

Curiously enough, it is not too difficult to extend the exact solvability of the potential $V^{(bs)}(r)$ to all its “spiked” (often called Pöschl-Teller [11]) shape invariant generalizations

$$V^{(PT)}(r) = -\frac{A(A+1)}{\cosh^2 r} + \frac{B(B-1)}{\sinh^2 r}. \quad (2)$$

Unfortunately, as far as the one-dimensional Schrödinger eq. (1) becomes too singular at $B(B-1) \neq 0$ the more general force $V^{(PT)}(r)$ must be confined to the semi-axis, i.e., in most cases, to the s wave in three dimensions with coordinates $r \in (0, \infty)$. This makes the “improved” Pöschl-Teller model (2) much less useful in practice since its higher partial waves are not solvable. The impossibility of using eq. (2) in three dimensions (or on the whole axis in one dimension at least) is felt unfortunate because the singular potentials themselves are frequently needed in methodical considerations [12] and in perturbation theory [13]. They are encountered in phenomenological models [14] and in explicit computations [15] but not too many of them are solvable [16]. This was a strong motivation of our present brief note on eq. (1) + (2).

We feel inspired by the pioneering letter [17] where Bender and Boettcher introduced the so called $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry (meaning the commutativity of a complex Hamiltonian with the product of parity $\mathcal{P}$ and time reversal $\mathcal{T}$). They proposed

its use as a possible source of the reality of spectra for non-Hermitian Hamiltonian operators. For illustration they employed the harmonic oscillator $V^{(HO)}(r) = r^2$ with the complex downward shift of its axis of coordinates,

$$r = x - i\varepsilon, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (3)$$

The $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry of their model $V^{(BB)}(x) = V^{(HO)}(x - ic) = x^2 - 2icx - c^2$ means its invariance with respect to the simultaneous reflection $x \rightarrow -x$ and complex conjugation $i \rightarrow -i$. Their example inspired their general hypothesis that the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry could by itself imply the reality of spectrum in some non-Hermitian models [9].

Various other complex interactions have been tested and studied within this framework [18]. In particular, the three-dimensional $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric harmonic oscillator of ref. [19] offers us another key idea. The same shift (3) has been employed there as a source of a *regularization* of the strongly singular centrifugal term. As long as $1/(x - i\varepsilon)^2 = (x + i\varepsilon)^2/(x^2 + \varepsilon^2)^2$ at any $\varepsilon \neq 0$, this term remains nicely bounded in a way which is uniform with respect to x . Without any difficulties one may work with $V^{(RHO)}(x) = r^2(x) + \ell(\ell + 1)/r^2(x)$ on the whole real line of x . In what follows the same idea will be applied to the regularized Pöschl-Teller-like potential

$$V^{(RPT)}(x) = V^{(PT)}(x - i\varepsilon), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2.$$

This potential is a simple function of the Lévai's [6] variable $g(r) = \cosh 2r$. As long as $g(x - i\varepsilon) = \cosh 2x \cos 2\varepsilon - i \sinh 2x \sin 2\varepsilon$, the new force is $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric on the real line of $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$V^{(RPT)}(-x) = [V^{(RPT)}(x)]^*.$$

Due to the estimates $|\sinh^2(x - i\varepsilon)|^2 = \sinh^2 x \cos^2 \varepsilon + \cosh^2 x \sin^2 \varepsilon = \sinh^2 x + \sin^2 \varepsilon$ and $|\cosh^2(x - i\varepsilon)|^2 = \sinh^2 x + \cos^2 \varepsilon$ the regularity of $V^{(RPT)}(x)$ is guaranteed for all its parameters $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$.

In a way paralleling the three-dimensional oscillator the mere analytic continuation of the s -wave bound states does not give the complete solution. One must

return to the original differential equation (1). There we may conveniently fix $A + 1/2 = \alpha > 0$ and $B - 1/2 = \beta > 0$ and write

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\beta^2 - 1/4}{\sinh^2 r(x)} - \frac{\alpha^2 - 1/4}{\cosh^2 r(x)} \right) \psi(x) = E \psi(x), \quad r(x) = x - i\varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

This is the Gauss differential equation

$$z(1+z) \varphi''(z) + [c + (a+b+1)z] \varphi'(z) + ab \varphi(z) = 0 \quad (5)$$

in the new variables

$$\psi(x) = z^\mu (1+z)^\nu \varphi(z), \quad z = \sinh^2 r(x)$$

using the suitable re-parameterizations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 &= (2\nu - 1/2)^2, & \beta^2 &= (2\mu - 1/2)^2, \\ 2\mu + 1/2 &= c, & 2\mu + 2\nu &= a + b, & E &= -(a - b)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the new notation we have the wave functions

$$\psi(x) = \sinh^{\tau\beta+1/2}[r(x)] \cosh^{\sigma\alpha+1/2}[r(x)] \varphi[z(x)] \quad (6)$$

with the sign ambiguities $\tau = \pm 1$ and $\sigma = \pm 1$ in $2\mu = \tau\beta + 1/2$ and $2\nu = \sigma\alpha + 1/2$.

This formula contains the general solution of hypergeometric eq. (5),

$$\varphi(z) = C_1 {}_2F_1(a, b; c; -z) + C_2 z^{1-c} {}_2F_1(a+1-c, b+1-c; 2-c; -z). \quad (7)$$

The solution should obey the complex version of the Sturm-Liouville oscillation theorem [20]. In the case of the discrete spectra this means that we have to demand the termination of our infinite hypergeometric series. This suppresses an asymptotic growth of $\psi(x)$ at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

In a deeper analysis let us first put $C_2 = 0$. We may satisfy the termination condition by the non-positive integer choice of $b = -N$. This implies that $a = N + 1 + \sigma\alpha + \tau\beta$ is real and that our wave function may be made asymptotically (exponentially) vanishing under certain conditions. Inspection of the formula (6) recovers that the boundary condition $\psi(\pm\infty) = 0$ will be satisfied if and only if

$$1 \leq 2N + 1 \leq 2N_{max} + 1 < -\sigma\alpha - \tau\beta.$$

The closed Jacobi polynomial representation of the wave functions follows easily,

$$\varphi[z(x)] = C_1 \frac{N! \Gamma(1 + \tau\beta)}{\Gamma(N + 1 + \tau\beta)} P_N^{(\tau\beta, \sigma\alpha)}[\cosh 2r(x)].$$

The final insertions of parameters define the spectrum of energies,

$$E = -(2N + 1 + \sigma\alpha + \tau\beta)^2 < 0. \quad (8)$$

Now we have to return to eq. (7) once more. A careful analysis of the other possibility $C_1 = 0$ does not recover anything new. The same solution is obtained, with τ replaced by $-\tau$. We may keep $C_2 = 0$ and mark the two independent solutions by the sign τ . Once we define the maximal integers $N_{max}^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ which are compatible with the inequality

$$2N_{max}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 1 < -\sigma\alpha - \tau\beta \quad (9)$$

we get the constraint $N \leq N_{max}^{(\sigma, \tau)}$. The set of our main quantum numbers is finite.

Let us now compare our final result (8) with the known $\varepsilon = 0$ formulae for s waves [6]. An additional physical boundary condition must be imposed in the latter singular limit [21]. This condition fixes the unique pair $\sigma = -1$ and $\tau = +1$. Thus, the set of the s -wave energy levels E_N is not empty if and only if $\alpha - \beta > 1$. In contrast, all our $\varepsilon > 0$ potentials acquire a uniform bound $|V^{(RPT)}(x)| < const < \infty$. Due to their regularity, no additional constraint is needed. Our new spectrum $E_N^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ becomes richer. For the sufficiently strong couplings it proves composed of the three separate parts,

$$\begin{aligned} E_N^{(-, -)} < 0, \quad 0 \leq N \leq N_{max}^{(-, -)}, \quad \alpha + \beta > 1, \\ E_N^{(-, +)} < 0, \quad 0 \leq N \leq N_{max}^{(-, +)}, \quad \alpha > \beta + 1, \\ E_N^{(+, -)} < 0, \quad 0 \leq N \leq N_{max}^{(+, -)}, \quad \beta > \alpha + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The former one is non-empty at $A + B > 1$ (with our above separate conventions $A > -1/2$ and $B > 1/2$). Concerning the latter two alternative sets, they may exist either at $A > B$ or at $B > A + 2$, respectively. We may summarize that in a parallel to the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetrized harmonic oscillator of ref. [19] we have the $N_{max}^{(-, +)} + 1$ quasi-odd or “perturbed”, analytically continued s -wave states (with a nodal zero near the origin) complemented by certain additional solutions.

In the first failure of a complete analogy the number $N_{max}^{(-,-)} + 1$ of our quasi-even states proves systematically higher than $N_{max}^{(-,+)} + 1$, especially at the larger “repulsion” $\beta \gg 1$. This is a certain paradox, strengthened by the existence of another quasi-odd family which behaves very non-perturbatively. Its members (with the ground state $\psi_0^{(+,-)}(x) = \cosh^{A+1}[r(x)] \sinh^{1-B}[r(x)]$ etc) do not seem to have any s -wave analogue. They are formed at the prevalent repulsion $B > A+2$ which is even more counter-intuitive. The exact solvability of our example enables us to understand this apparent paradox clearly. In a way characteristic for many $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric systems some of the states are bound by an antisymmetric imaginary well. The whole history of the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric models starts from the purely imaginary cubic force [22] after all. A successful description of its perturbative forms $V(x) = \omega x^2 + i\lambda x^3$ is not so enigmatic [23], especially due to its analogies with the real and symmetric $V(x) = \omega x^2 + \lambda x^4$ [24]. The similar mechanism creates the states with $(\sigma, \tau) = (+, -)$ in the present example. A significant novelty of our new model $V^{(RPT)}(x)$ lies in the dominance of its imaginary component *at the short distances*, $x \approx 0$. Indeed, we may expand our force to the first order in the small $\varepsilon > 0$. This gives the approximation

$$\frac{1}{\sinh^2(x - i\varepsilon)} = \frac{\sinh^2(x + i\varepsilon)}{(\sinh^2 x + \sin^2 \varepsilon)^2} = \frac{1}{\sinh^2 x} + 2i\varepsilon \frac{\cosh x}{\sinh^3 x} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (11)$$

We see immediately the clear prevalence of the imaginary part at the short distances, especially at all the negligible $A = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$.

An alternative approach to the above paradox may be mediated by a sudden transition from the domain of a small $\varepsilon \approx 0$ to the opposite extreme with $\varepsilon \approx \pi/2$. This is a shift which changes $\cosh x$ into $\sinh x$ and vice versa. It intertwines the role of α and β as a strength of the smooth attraction and of the singular repulsion, respectively. The perturbative/non-perturbative interpretation of both our quasi-odd subsets of states becomes mutually interchanged near both the extremes of ε .

The dominant part (11) of our present model leaves its asymptotics comparatively irrelevant. In contrast to many other $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric models as available in the current literature our potential vanishes asymptotically,

$$V^{(RPT)}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

An introduction and analysis of continuous spectra in the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric quantum mechanics seems rendered possible at positive energies. This question will be left open here. In the same spirit of a concluding remark we may also touch the problem of the possible breakdown of the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. This has recently been studied on the background of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics [25]. In our present solvable example the violation of the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is easily mimicked by the complex choice of the couplings α and β . Due to our closed formulae the energies will still stay real, provided only that $\text{Im} (\sigma\alpha + \tau\beta) = 0$. Unfortunately, the questions of this type lie already beyond the scope of our present short communication.

References

- [1] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1974);
R. Jackiw, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 49, 681 (1977).
- [2] C. Eckart, *Phys. Rev.* 35, 1303 (1930);
V. I Kukulin, V. M. Krasnopol'sky and J. Horáček, *Theory of resonances: Principles and Applications* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989);
R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, C. Rasinarin and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rev. A* 60, 3482 (1999).
- [3] R. P. Bell, *The Tunnel Effect in Chemistry* (Chapman and Hall, London, 1980).
- [4] D. De Vault, *Quantum Mechanical Tunneling in Biological Systems* (Cambridge University Press, London, 1984).
- [5] H. R. Beyer, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 204, 397 (1999).
- [6] G. Lévai, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 22, 689 (1989).
- [7] R. G. Newton, *Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles* (Springer Verlag, New York, 1982), p. 438.
- [8] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rep.* 251, 267 (1995).
- [9] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher and P. N. Meisinger, *J. Math. Phys.* 40, 2201 (1999).
- [10] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe, F. Cannata and J. P. Dedonder, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 14, 2675 (1999);
B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, preprint LANL quant-ph/9911104, to appear in *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*;
M. Znojil, LANL preprint quant-ph/9911116.

- [11] G. Pöschl and E. Teller, Z. Physik 83, 143 (1933);
S. Flügge, Practical Quantum Mechanics I (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
- [12] L. C. Detwiler and J. R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. D 11, 1436 (1975).
- [13] E. M. Harrell, Ann. Phys. (NY) 105, 379 (1977).
- [14] M. Sotona and J. Žofka, Phys. Rev. C 10, 2646 (1974) and Czech. J. Phys. B 28, 593 (1978);
R. Dutt and Y. P. Varshni, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. 20, 2437 (1987);
J. Vacek, K. Konvička and P. Hobza, Chem. Phys. Lett. 220, 83 (1994).
- [15] F. M. Fernández, Phys. Lett. A 160, 511 (1991);
R. Hall and N. Saad, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32, 133 (1999).
- [16] A. Kratzer, Z. Physik 3, 289 (1920);
N. A. W. Holzwarth, J. Math. Phys. 14, 191 (1973);
E. Papp, Phys. Lett. A 157, 192 (1991).
- [17] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 5243 (1988).
- [18] C. M. Bender and A. V. Turbiner, Phys. Lett. A 173, 442 (1993);
C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998) L273;
E. Delabaere and F. Pham, Phys. Lett. A 250, 25 and 29 (1998);
F. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros and M. Znojil, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998) 10105;
M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A 264, 108 (1999).
- [19] M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A 259, 220 (1999).
- [20] E. Hille, Lectures on Ordinary Differential Equations (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969).

- [21] K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. 80, 797 (1950);
L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, Quantum Mechanics (Pergamon, London, 1960), ch. V, par. 35;
W. M. Frank, D. J. Land and R. M. Spector, Rev. Mod. Phys. 43, 36 (1971);
M. Znojil, LANL preprint quant-ph/9811088 and Phys. Rev. A, to appear.
- [22] Daniel Bessis, private communication (1992).
- [23] E. Caliceti, S. Graffi and M. Maioli, Commun. Math. Phys. 75, 51 (1980).
- [24] G. Alvarez, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27, 4589 (1995).
- [25] F. Cannata, G. Junker and J. Trost, Phys. Lett. A 246, 219 (1998).